

14. That under any circumstance, any dedication was for the benefit of the public in general and not for the special benefit of the owners of Lot 2A and had it been otherwise, it would have been noted on the plat or in the deed to that lot.

15. That the grant in the deed from the Counter-Plaintiff to Mr. and Mrs. French, a copy of which was attached to the original Complaint, the grant was limited to Lot 2-A as shown on the plat and was further limited by being described specifically as containing 14,550 square feet of land, more or less, and nowhere in the deed at any place is there any grant to Mr. and Mrs. French of anything other than that lot.

16. That to the best of Counter Plaintiff's knowledge the corners of Lot 2A were clearly marked with steel bars and survey caps number 3644 as set forth on the resubdivision plat to which reference has been made.

17. That the Counter-Plaintiff is 81 years of age and resides in a house on other land that she owns in the immediate vicinity of the lot or parcel of land in question and expects to be using the parcel of land in question as a garden as has been her custom for many years, as soon as the weather is appropriate.

18. That by reason of her advanced age, the litigation filed against her by her granddaughter and her granddaughter's husband has caused her great physical and mental anguish and concern and, because of the claims of Mr. and Mrs. French, Counter-Plaintiff believes that Mr. and Mrs. French or either of them might well endeavor to restrict and interfere with her use of her land for her garden as has been her custom for many years and which would cause her even greater mental and physical anguishment.